Chevkinite-(Ce) in tuff at Heguri, Boso Peninsula, Chiba Prefecture, Japan

Ritsuro Miyawaki^{1*}, Satoshi Matsubara¹, Kazumi Yokoyama¹, Koichi Momma¹, Takashi Sano¹, Yukiyasu Tsutsumi¹, Masako Shigeoka¹ and Katsumi Nishikubo²

¹Department of Geology and Paleontology, National Museum of Nature and Science,

4-1-1 Amakubo, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0005, Japan

²Fukuei, Ichikawa, Chiba 272–0137, Japan

Abstract Chevkinite-(Ce) occurs in the rhyolitic tuff of the Mineoka group from Boso Peninsula, Chiba Prefecture, Japan. It forms as black tiny crystals less than 100 μ m across in association with zircon, quartz, K-feldspar and analcime. Optically, chevkinite-(Ce) is pleochroic in brown and blackish brown. The electron microprobe analysis gave the following empirical formula: $(Ce_{1.57}La_{0.83}Ca_{0.58}Nd_{0.56}Pr_{0.15}Y_{0.09}Th_{0.08}Sm_{0.05}Gd_{0.04}Dy_{0.02})_{\Sigma 3.97}(Ti_{2.74}Fe_{1.84}Al_{0.14}Mg_{0.05}Nb_{0.05}Mn_{0.02})_{\Sigma 4.84}$ Si₄O_{21.25}F_{0.18} on the basis of Si = 4. It is non-metamict and gives sharp X-ray diffractions. The unit cell parameters were refined from data of a single crystal on a 4-circle diffractometer; a = 13.3949(12), b = 5.7293(8), c = 11.0523(10)Å, $\beta = 100.636(7)^{\circ}, V = 833.62(16)$ Å³ and Z = 2. The refinement of crystal structure converged to R1 = 0.0371 and wR2 = 0.1304 for 1984 independent reflections.

Key words: chevkinite-(Ce), crystal structure, Chiba, Japan, rare-earth

Introduction

Chevkinite-(Ce) is a silicate mineral of rare earth elements (REE), iron and titanium, and is a member of the chevkinite group minerals having general formula $A_4M_5Si_4O_{22}$, where A = largercations such as REE, Sr, Ca, Th, and M = cations with octahedral coordination such as Ti, Nb, Ta, Zr; Fe, Mg, Mn, Cr. The members of chevkinite group can be classified into two groups with their crystal structures; the chevkinite-type structure in C2/m and the perrierite-type structure in $P2_1/a$. The minor difference between two structures brings very similar powder X-ray diffraction patterns and makes it difficult to identify the mineral species with crystallographic data. An elaborate single crystal diffraction study is necessary to determine the crystal structure type, whereas chevkinite-(Ce) usually occurs in the metamict state giving no or poor X-ray diffraction data.

During our geological survey of the Mineoka group from Boso Peninsula, Chiba Prefecture, Japan, we recognized black fragments in the zircon-bearing heavy sand sample for the dating partitioned from pale green tuff between the shale strata at Heguri, Chiba Prefecture (35°6'13"N, 139°55'44"E). Our mineralogical investigation revealed that the fragments are non-metamict crystalline grains of chevkinite-(Ce).

Occurrence

Chevkinite-(Ce) occurs as euhedral black crystals less than $100\,\mu$ m across in rhyolitic tuff in association with zircon, quartz, K-feldspar and analcime (Figs. 1 and 2). Monazite-(Ce) and fine particles of sulfides, such as galena and sphalerite, can be observed in addition to the associating minerals. The rhyolitic tuff consists of quartz, K-feldspar and volcanic glass. The almost parts of the glass were altered into analcime. Under the microscope, chevkinite-(Ce) is pleochroic in brown and blackish brown. More than 10 grains of chevkinite-(Ce) could be separated in the heavy liquid from approximate 150g sample of





Fig. 1. The appearance of pale green rhyolitic tuff (a) and the close up view of black crystal of chevkinite-(Ce) of the rhyolitic tuff (b).

the rhyolitic tuff. The detailed geological background of chevkinite-(Ce) from rhyolitic tuff is given in Tsutsumi *et al.* (2012).

Chemical Composition

The chemical composition of chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri was determined by means of a JEOL 8800 electron microprobe analyzer (WDS mode, 15kV, 20nA, and 2μ m beam diameter). The analytical standard materials are as follows; REEP₅O₁₄ for REE (rare earth elements), ThO₂ for Th, anatase for Ti, fayalite for Fe and Si, sillimanite for Al, forsterite for Mg, columbite for Nb, rhodonite for Mn, CaF₂ for F. The result of chemical analysis with ZAF corrections is given in Table 1. The empirical formula derived from the chemical analysis is (Ce_{1.57}La_{0.83}Ca_{0.58}Nd_{0.56}Pr_{0.15}Y_{0.09}Th_{0.08}







Fig. 2. Photomicrographs [plane polarized light (PPL) (a) and crossed polars (CPL) (b)] and back scattered electron image (c) of chevkinite-(Ce) [brown in PL and pale gray in BSE] and zircon [colorless in PL and gray in BSE] in the rhyolitic tuff.

$$\begin{split} Sm_{0.05}Gd_{0.04}Dy_{0.02})_{\Sigma 3.97}(Ti_{2.74}Fe_{1.84}Al_{0.14}Mg_{0.05}\\ Nb_{0.05}Mn_{0.02})_{\Sigma 4.84}Si_4O_{21.25}F_{0.18} \mbox{ on the basis of } Si=4. \end{split}$$

1101111	icguii.		
$\begin{array}{c} CaO\\ Sc_2O_3\\ ThO_2\\ Y_2O_3\\ La_2O_3\\ CeO\end{array}$	2.68 0.02 1.70 0.81 11.24 21.31	Ca Sc Th Y La	0.58 0.00 0.08 0.09 0.83 1.57
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Ce}_2\operatorname{O}_3\\ \operatorname{Pr}_2\operatorname{O}_3\\ \operatorname{Nd}_2\operatorname{O}_3\\ \operatorname{Sm}_2\operatorname{O}_3\\ \operatorname{Gd}_2\operatorname{O}_3\\ \operatorname{Dy}_2\operatorname{O}_3\end{array}$	2.05 7.75 0.79 0.57 0.30	Pr Nd Sm Gd Dy ΣA	0.15 0.56 0.05 0.04 0.02 3.97
$\begin{array}{c} \text{TiO}_2 \\ \text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5 \\ \text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5 \\ \text{FeO} \\ \text{MnO} \\ \text{MgO} \\ \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \end{array}$	$18.14 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.00 \\ 10.96 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.58$	Ti Nb Ta Fe Mn Mg Al $\Sigma M (BC)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.74 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.84 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.14 \\ 4.84 \end{array}$
SiO_2 F O = F	19.92 0.28 - 0.12	Si F	4 0.18
Total	99.77		

Table 1. Chemical composition of chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri

=

X-ray crystallography

Powder X-ray diffraction data of chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri were obtained with a 114.6 mm Gandolfi camera. A fragment of chevkinite-(Ce) which was chemically analyzed was picked up from the thin section, and mounted on a glass fiber of $10\mu m$ diameter. The Debye-Sheller ring images were recorded on an imaging plate (IP) in the Gandolfi camera using Ni-filtered CuKα radiation for a few days. Two exposures for measurement were carried out with and without Si standard material for X-ray diffraction angle calibration. The data were processed with a Fuji BAS-2500 bio-imaging analyser using a computer program written by Nakamuta (1999). The reflections were indexed according to the observed single crystal X-ray diffraction data and

Table 2. The powder X-ray diffraction data of chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri.

h	k	l	I/I_0	$d_{\rm obs.}$	$d_{\text{calc.}}$	h	k	l	I/I_0	d _{obs.}	d _{calc.}	h	k	l	<i>I</i> / <i>I</i> ₀	d _{obs.}	$d_{\text{calc.}}$
0	0	1	9	10.9	10.9	3	1	3	7	2.34	2.34				9 q	1.542	
2	0	0	6	6.58	6.59	4	0	4	12	2 31	2.32	0	2	6	5	1.528	1.530
0	0	2	23	5.43	5.43	3	1	4	12	2.51	2.31	3	1	6	8	1.517	1.517
2	0	1	5	5.21	5.22	0			6 q	2.28		3	1	7	11	1.501	1.502
1	1	1	23	4.87	4.87	0	2	3	10		2.25	4	2	6	5	1.476	1.479
I	1	I	35	4.60	4.60	$\frac{4}{5}$	0	3	18 q	2.24	2.24	2	2	6	5	1.442	1.441
T	1	2	15 q	4.26	2.02	$\frac{6}{4}$	0	1			2.23	$\frac{0}{2}$	4	0	12	1.432	1.431
1	1	2	4	3.92	3.92	4	2	1	33	2.17	2.1/	3	3	5	2	1.415	1.415
2	0	2	21	3.80	3.85	4	2	0	5	2 1 2	2.16	87	2	1	8	1.383	1.38/
2	1	5	21	3.02	3.02	\overline{A}	2	2	5 q	2.13	2 10	6	2	4	10 a	1 276	1.383
$\frac{3}{2}$	1	1	21	3.47	2.40	4	2 0	1	11	2.10	2.10	$\frac{0}{7}$	2	4	10 q	1.570	1.3//
3	1	1	76 a	3 34	5.47	4	2	1	8	2.07	2.08	7	3	0	10	1.340	1.343
3	1	1	61	3.18	3 1 8	3	1	1	17	2.00	2.07	$\frac{7}{4}$	4	2	5	1 298	1 298
$\frac{3}{3}$	1	2	49	3 15	3 15	3	1	5	1 /	2.00	1 978	4	4	1	5	1.270	1 292
$\frac{3}{1}$	1	3		5.10	3.09	õ	2	4	33	1.971	1 970	$\frac{1}{8}$	2	5	7	1.291	1 292
$\frac{1}{4}$	Ô	2	33	3.08	3.08	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\overline{2}$	4	25	1.050	1.961	6	$\overline{2}$	5	11	1.0(0)	1.271
4	Õ	1	23	3.00	3.00	1	1	5	25	1.958	1.956	Ŏ	4	4	11	1.268	1.266
2	0	3	7	2.95	2.95	1	3	1	6	1.853	1.852	$\overline{6}$	2	7	9	1.253	1.254
1	1	3	18	2.88	2.88	2	2	4	16 g	1.819	1.821	0	2	8	4	1.226	1.226
0	2	0	25	2.87	2.86	7	1	2	5	1.799	1.794	3	3	6	5	1.214	1.214
3	1	2	50	2.76	2.75	4	2	3	10	1 762	1.763	3	1	8	11	1 205	1.206
3	1	3	100	2 72	2.72	6	2	1	10	1.702	1.761	3	3	7	11	1.203	1.206
0	0	4	100	2.12	2.71	6	2	2	19	1.734	1.735	3	1	9	7	1 106	1.196
2	2	0	10	2.62	2.62	4	0	6	20	1.729	1.727	_4	2	7	/	1.190	1.193
4	0	2	12	2.61	2.61	6	0	5			1.711	11	1	1	8	1 185	1.189
2	2	1	12	2.01	2.60	<u>3</u>	3	1	20	1.707	1.708	7	1	8	0	1.105	1.186
0	2	2	16	2.53	2.53	3	3	2			1.703	7	1	6	5	1.173	1.173
2	2	1	9	2.51	2.51	6	2	1			1.682	7	3	4	6	1.143	1.143
1	1	4	4	2.49	2.49	4	0	5	18 q	1.676	1.676	8	0	6	5	1.118	1.119
-			6 q	2.46		8	0	1			1.675	$\frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}$	4	6	6	1.102	1.102
2	2	2	5	2.43	2.43	$\frac{5}{2}$	1	4	15	1.662	1.662	6	4	5	5	1.097	1.098
5	1	1	2	2.10	2.42	3	3	3	16	1.625	1.623	3	5	1	č	1.077	1.097
5	1	0	3	2.40	2.39	6	2	2	5	1.593	1.592						

q: quartz

 $a = 13.405(6), b = 5.7242(15), c = 11.050(3) \text{ Å}, \beta = 100.69(3)^{\circ}, V = 833.2(5) \text{ Å}^3, Z = 2.$

calculated X-ray diffraction pattern simulated from the subsequent result of crystal structure analysis. The unit-cell parameters were refined from the powder X-ray diffraction data using the computer program of Toraya (1993) and are: a = 13.405(6), b = 5.7242(15), c = 11.050(3)Å, $\beta = 100.69(3)^\circ, V = 833.2(5)$ Å³ and Z = 2. X-ray powder diffraction data are given in Table 2.

The X-ray diffraction intensity data of the single crystal selected for structure analysis were collected using a Rigaku RASA-7R four-circle diffractometer employing graphite-monochromatized MoK α radiation from a rotating anode (50 kV and 200 mA). Experimental details of the data collection are given in Table 3. Data reduction to F_o^2 with Lorentz and polarization corrections and correction for absorption (ϕ -scan procedure) were carried out with a computer program by Prof. Kazumasa Sugiyama of Tohoku University (pers. comm.). The SHELXL-97 software package (Sheldrick, 2008) was employed to refine the crystal structure. The scattering factors for the neutral atoms and anomalous dispersion factors were taken from the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Volume C (1992). Full-matrix least-squares refinement was performed by refining the positional parameters, scale factor, and displacement parameters.

The single crystal X-ray diffraction data showed the chevkinite-type C-lattice with extinction rules consistent with the space group C2/m. The atomic positional parameters of chevkinite-(Ce) "Crystal 1" (Sokolova *et al.*, 2004) were used for the starting model. Although chevkinite-

Table 3. Crystallographic data of chevkinite-(Ce) and experimental details.

298 K
13.3949(12)
5.7293(8)
11.0523(10)
100.636(7)
833.62(16)
C2/m
2
$(Ce_{0.83}Ca_{0.15}Y_{0.02})_{4}Fe(Fe_{0.686}Al_{0.314})_{2}Ti_{2}Si_{4}O_{22}$
4.795
12.587
$0.15 \times 0.07 \times 0.03$
Rigaku AFC-7R
$MoK\alpha$ (graphite-monochromatized)
$2\theta - \omega, 2$
5-70
$-21 \le h \le 21$
$0 \le k \le 9$
$-17 \le l \le 8$
2238
1984
1750
0.0158
101
0.0296, 0.0371
0.1304
0.1, 0
1.111
-2.976
1.432

 $R1 = \Sigma | |F_o| - |F_c| | / \Sigma |F_o|$ $wR2 = \{ \Sigma [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)_2^2] / \Sigma [w(F_o^2)^2] \}^{0.5}$ $w = 1 / [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$ $P = [2F_c^2 + F_o^2] / 3$

		occupancy	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm eq}$
Ce1	A1 C	$Ce_{0.83}Y_{0.02}Ca_{0.15}$	0.35634(2)	0	0.73373(3)	0.01315(12)
Ce2	A2 $Ce_{0.83}Y_{0.02}Ca_{0.15}$		0.06982(2)	0	0.74050(3)	0.01681(12)
Fe1	B(M1) Fe		0.5	0	0.5	0.00745(18)
Fe2	C1(M2) F	$e_{0.686(8)}Al_{0.314}$	0.25	0.25	0	0.0071(2)
Ti3	C2a(M2) T	1	0	0	0	0.0095(2)
Ti4	C2b(M3) T	ï	0.5	0	0	0.0096(2)
Si1	S	i	0.20107(10)	0.5	0.73167(11)	0.0065(2)
Si2	S	i	0.35743(10)	0.5	0.54576(12)	0.0078(2)
01	C)	0.47764(19)	0.2519(5)	0.8741(2)	0.0107(4)
O2	C)	0.1462(3)	0	0.9777(4)	0.0100(6)
O3	C)	0.1859(3)	0	0.5981(3)	0.0126(6)
04	C)	0.3477(3)	0	0.9906(4)	0.0106(6)
05	C)	0.4264(3)	0.2720(6)	0.5943(3)	0.0214(6)
06	C)	0.2284(2)	-0.7353(5)	0.8146(2)	0.0118(4)
07	C)	0.0845(3)	0.5	0.6708(4)	0.0257(11)
08	C)	0.2685(4)	0.5	0.6282(5)	0.0356(14)
	U_{11}	U ₂₂	U_{33}	U ₂₃	U ₁₃	U ₁₂
Ce1	0.01331(18)	0.01482(18)	0.01094(17)	0	0.00127(12)	0
Ce2	0.01025(17)	0.0306(2)	0.00995(18)	0	0.00271(12)	0
Fe1	0.0098(4)	0.0078(4)	0.0042(3)	0	-0.0001(3)	0
Fe2	0.0078(3)	0.0096(4)	0.0041(3)	0.0000(2)	0.0010(2)	0.0002(2)
Ti3	0.0098(5)	0.0120(5)	0.0074(4)	0	0.0031(4)	0
Ti4	0.0085(5)	0.0106(5)	0.0094(5)	0	0.0006(4)	0
Si1	0.0073(5)	0.0061(5)	0.0062(5)	0	0.0014(4)	0
Si2	0.0089(5)	0.0098(5)	0.0048(5)	0	0.0013(4)	0
01	0.0094(10)	0.0130(11)	0.0102(9)	-0.0021(9)	0.0035(8)	-0.0026(8)
O2	0.0069(13)	0.0111(14)	0.0125(14)	0	0.0034(11)	0
O3	0.0178(16)	0.0139(16)	0.0055(13)	0	0.0000(12)	0
O4	0.0077(13)	0.0089(14)	0.0146(15)	0	0.0006(11)	0
O5	0.0271(15)	0.0236(15)	0.0127(11)	0.0060(11)	0.0015(11)	0.0153(12)
O6	0.0191(11)	0.0082(10)	0.0082(10)	0.0013(8)	0.0027(8)	0.0030(8)
07	0.0092(16)	0.054(3)	0.0129(18)	0	-0.0017(13)	0
08	0.028(3)	0.064(4)	0.021(2)	0	0.020(2)	0

Table 4. Final atomic coordinates and displacement parameters (Å²).

(Ce) showed a complex chemistry of isomorphous substitutions, simplified occupancy parameters were employed in the initial cycles of the refinement calculations; $Ce_4Fe_3Ti_2Si_4O_{22}$ as the structural formula. Although Fe and Ti have the similar scattering properties, the former was adopted to the Fe1 (*B* or *M*1) and Fe2 (*C*1 or *M*2) sites, whereas the latter was employed for the Ti3 (*C*2, or *M*3 and *M*4) sites, in reference to the previous studies on the crystal structure of chevkinite-(Ce) (Yang *et al.*, 2002, 2007; Sokolova *et al.*, 2004). In the next stage of refinement cycles, the occupancy parameters for the *A* (REE) sites were fixed as $Ce_{0.83}Y_{0.02}Ca_{0.15}$ according to the chemical composition. In the further refinement

cycles, Al, as the representative of Mg and Al, was introduced into the octahedral Fe1, Fe2, Ti3 and Ti4 sites to refine the occupancy parameters against to the Ti or Fe, the representative of Ti, Mn and Fe. The refined occupancy parameters indicated the partial (0.3) substitution of Al(Mg) for Fe only at the Fe2 site, whereas no substitution at the other octahedral sites. In the final cycles of refinements, the occupancy parameters of Al and Fe at the Fe2 site were refined. The final positional parameters and anisotropic displacement parameters are given in Table 4. Selected interatomic distances are summarized in Table 5.

<u>a</u> 1	0.5	0.40.4(0)			0.400(4)
Cel	-05	2.494(3)	Ce2	-03	2.408(4)
	-05	2.494(3)		-01	2.530(3)
	- O3	2.489(4)		-O1	2.530(3)
	-01	2.490(3)		- 06	2.616(3)
	-01	2.490(3)		- 06	2.616(3)
	- 06	2.569(3)		-05	2.620(4)
	-06	2.569(3)		-05	2.620(4)
	- O4	2.863(4)		- 02	2.632(4)
mean		2.557		-07	2.9824(14)
				-07	2.9824(14)
			mean		2.654
Fe1	-07	2.014(4)	Fe2	-04	1.956(2)
	-07	2.014(5)		-04	1.956(2)
	-05	2.206(3)		-O2	1.980(2)
	-05	2.206(3)		-02	1.980(2)
	-05	2.206(3)		-06	2.018(2)
	- O5	2.206(3)		-06	2.018(2)
mean		2.142	mean		1.985
Ti3	-01	1.973(3)	Ti4	-01	1.988(3)
	-O1	1.973(3)		-01	1.988(3)
	-01	1.973(3)		-01	1.988(3)
	-01	1.973(3)		-01	1.988(3)
	-02	2.018(3)		-04	2.024(4)
	-02	2.018(3)		-04	2.024(4)
mean		1.988	mean		2.000
Si1	-07	1.582(5)	Si2	- O3	1.589(4)
	-08	1.583(5)		-08	1.628(5)
	-06	1.633(3)		-05	1.632(3)
	- 06	1.633(3)		- 05	1.632(3)
mean		1.608	mean		1.620

Table 5. Interatomic distances (Å).

Discussion

Chevkinite-(Ce) from the rhyolitic tuff. Heguri, Chiba Prefecture, Japan, shows a chondritenormalized lanthanides distribution pattern with simple decay with increasing atomic number (Fig. 3). This is a typical pattern for the Ce-dominant rare earth minerals. Although the trace amount lanthanides, such as Eu and Er, could not determined by the electron microprobe owing to their low contents, we can estimate their concentrations in chevkinite-(Ce) from this liner trend; Eu₂O₃ 0.23, Tb₂O₃ 0.07, Ho₂O₃ 0.04, Er₂O₃ 0.09, Tm_2O_3 0.01, Yb_2O_3 0.04 wt%, and others are less than 0.01 wt%. The value for Eu must be an overestimation, because the electron microprobe detected the negligible weak signal of the Eu analytical line. The Eu anomaly is suggested as the spike in Fig. 3. Eventually, only the $Er_{0.01}$ can be estimated among the trace lanthanoids into the empirical formula; (Ce₁₅₇La₀₈₃Ca₀₅₈Nd₀₅₆Pr₀₁₅



Fig. 3. Chondrite-normalized lanthanide distribution pattern of chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri. The dashed line indicates a fitted line estimated from the values of La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd and Dy.

 $\begin{array}{l} Y_{0.09} Th_{0.08} Sm_{0.05} Gd_{0.04} Dy_{0.02} Er_{0.01})_{\Sigma 3.98} (Ti_{2.74} \\ Fe_{1.34}^{3+} Fe_{0.50}^{2+} Al_{0.14} Mg_{0.05} Nb_{0.05} Mn_{0.02})_{\Sigma 4.84} Si_4 \\ O_{21.82} F_{0.18}. \end{array}$

Yang, *et al.* (2002) pointed out the deficiencies of cations at the *A* and *BC* (*M*) sites in their Ferich chevkinite-(Ce). A slight deficiency can be observed for each site in the empirical formula of chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri. It is worth noting that chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri contains small amounts of Al and Mg, and differs from chevkinite-(Ce) from China (Yang *et al.*, 2002) and Mongolia (Sokolova *et al.*, 2004).

Chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri is characteristic in the Fe-rich chemistry. The concentration of Fe is comparable to that in chevkinite-(Ce) from the aegirine-alkali granite, Mianning, Sichuan Province, China (Yang et al., 2002, 2007). The Fe constituent in chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri is estimated as divalent FeO, although no valency determination, such as Mössbauer analysis, was carried out. However, chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri possibly consists of both Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , as the Fe-rich chevkinite-(Ce) from China (Yang et al., 2002, 2007). A tentative estimation of $Fe^{2+}/$ Fe^{3+} partition on the basis of Si = 4 and (O,F) = 22 apfu gave a formula; (Ce_{1.57}La_{0.83}Ca_{0.58}Nd_{0.56} $Pr_{0.15}Y_{0.09}Th_{0.08}Sm_{0.05}Gd_{0.04}Dy_{0.02})_{\Sigma 3.97}(Ti_{2.74}Fe_{1.34}^{3+}$ $Fe_{0.50}^{2+}Al_{0.14}Mg_{0.05}Nb_{0.05}Mn_{0.02})_{\Sigma 4.84}Si_4O_{21.82}F_{0.18}$

The crystal structure of chevkinite-(Ce) consists of two kinds of sheets; one is a sheet of octahedral cations (Fe2, Ti3 and Ti3), and the other is a sheet of Fe1 octahedra and corner shar-

ing two silicate tetrahedra, Si₂O₇. The sheets are parallel to the *ab* plane, and stack alternately in the c^* direction to form a three-dimensional framework. The resulted structural formula is therefore $(Ce_{0.83}Ca_{0.15}Y_{0.02})_4Fe(Fe_{0.686}Al_{0.314})_2Ti_2$ Si₄O₂₂. An approximation of minor atoms into the structural formula leads to the formula (Ce $_{0.83}$ $Ca_{0,15}Y_{0,02})_4(Fe_{0,98}Mn_{0,02})(Fe_{0,43}Ti_{0,40}Al_{0,07}Mg_{0,03})$ $\Box_{0.07}$ ₂(Ti_{0.97}Nb_{0.03})₂Si₄O₂₂, suggesting a fractional vacancy at the Fe2 site. Whereas the Ti and Fe are simply allocated into Ti3, Ti4 and Fe1 sites, respectively, in this approximation, a complex mixing of Fe and Ti is probable at the octahedral sites in chevkinite-(Ce) from Heguri, as the site population in the Fe-rich chevkinite-(Ce) from China assigned by Yang et al. (2007).

The present chevkinite-(Ce) is the second occurrence in Japan, following to the first occurrence from alkaline dike in Cape Ashizuri, Kochi Prefecture, Shikoku, Japan (Imaoka and Nakashima, 1994). Chevkinite-(Ce) occurs in pumice fragments and air-fall ash, as well as in alkali granite and syenite pegmatites. The occurrence mode at Heguri is similar to the former type. The X-ray fluorescence whole rock analysis (Table 2 in Tsutsumi et al., 2012) indicated considerable abundances of Y (101 ppm) and Ce (144 ppm) in the chevkinite-(Ce) bearing pale green rhyolitic tuff from Heguri. These rare earth elements are considered to be concentrated into the crystals of chevkinite-(Ce) and the associating monazite-(Ce). The source magma of rhyolitic tuff should be as rich in the rare earth as the tuff. It is worth to note that such a REE-rich volcano once existed within or close to Japanese Islands, whereas the position of volcano can not be identified.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the staff of

Marusho Sekisan Co. for their assistance for our geological survey in their quarry area. Prof. Zhuming Yang and Dr. Stuart J. Mills are thanked for their helpful reviews. This study was supported by the Integrated Research Project in the National Museum of Nature and Science, "Research on the Earth's surface processes and biota in and near the Sea of Japan".

References

- Imaoka, T. and Nakashima, K. (1994) Chevkinite in syenites from Cape Ashizuri, Shikoku Island, Japan. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie — Monatshefte, 8, 358–366
- Nakamuta, Y. (1999) Precise analysis of a very small mineral by an X-ray diffraction method. *Journal of the Mineralogical Society of Japan*, **28**, 117–121 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica, A64, 112–122.
- Sokolova, E., Hawthorne, F. C., Della Ventura, G., and Kartashov, P. M. (2004) Chevkinite-(Ce): Crystal structure and the effect of moderate radiation-induced damage on site-occupancy refinement. *Canadian Mineralogist*, 42, 1013–1025.
- Toraya, H. (1993) The determination of unit-cell parameters from Bragg reflection data using a standard reference material but without a calibration curve. *Journal* of Applied Crystallography, 26, 583–590.
- Tsutsumi, Y., Horie, K. Sano, T., Miyawaki, R., Momma, K., Matsubara, S., Shigeoka, M. and Yokoyama, K. (2012) La-ICP-MS and SHRIMP ages of zircons in chevkinite and monazite tuffs from the Boso Peninsula, Central Japan. *Bulletin of the National Museum of Nature and Science, Ser. C*, **37**, 15–32.
- Yang, Z., Fleck, M., Smith, M., Tao, K., Song, R. and Zhang, P. (2002) The crystal structure of natural Ferich chevkinite-(Ce). *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 14, 969–975.
- Yang, Z., Li, H., Liu, M. and Pertlik, F. (2007) The crystal chemistry of iron in non-metamict chevkinite-(Ce): Valence state and site occupation proportions. *Journal* of Rare Earths, 25, 238–242.