Dental Anomalies in the Lesser Japanese Shrew-Mole Dymecodon pilirostris (Mammalia, Talpidae), with the First Record of an Extra Tooth

Shinya Okabe^{1, *}

¹ National Museum of Nature and Science,
4–1–1 Amakubo, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305–0005, Japan
* E-mail: yansi0678@gmail.com

(Received 3 July 2024; accepted 25 September 2024)

Abstract Dental anomalies in Talpidae have been well studied in Talpini and Scalopini moles. Dental anomalies in such moles are frequent as absent, extra, or connate teeth. However, dental anomalies are rarely reported in Urotrichini shrew-moles and are less frequent than in Talpini and Scalopini moles. In this study, dental anomalies were examined in 280 specimens of lesser Japanese shrew-mole *Dymecodon pilirostris* True, 1886 (Mammalia, Eulipotyphla, Talpidae, Urotrichini). Six (2.1%) specimens showed dental anomalies. Tooth absence was observed at I³ in one specimen, C¹ in three specimens, and C₁ in one specimen. An extra tooth was found posterior to the C₁ in one specimen. This is the first report of an extra tooth in this species. It was suggested that *D. pilirostris* exhibits a notably low frequency of dental anomalies, similar to *Urotrichus talpoides*, in contrast to the higher frequency observed in the Talpini and Scalopini moles. Furthermore, these results suggest that absent and extra teeth are prevalent among the canines and third incisors of Urotrichini shrew-moles.

Key words: absent tooth, extra tooth, Urotrichini.

Introduction

While most mammalian orders show low frequencies of dental anomalies (Feldhamer *et al.*, 2020), Talpini and Scalopini moles exhibit significantly higher rates. For example, anomalies occur in 22.9% of *Talpa altaica* (n = 1789), 17.5% of *Mogera tokudae* (n = 57), 9.9% of *M. robusta* (n = 241), 6.4% of *M. wogura* (n = 687), 14.5% of *Scalopus aquaticus* (n = 249), and 8.7% of *S. latimanus* (n = 265) (Kawada *et al.*, 2006, 2011; Feldhamer and Towery, 2011; Asahara *et al.*, 2012). Exceptions include *M. imaizumii* (1.8%, n = 331) and *Scapanus orarius* (1.2%, n = 81) (Hall, 1940; Kawada *et al.*, 2011). In contrast, the frequency in Urotrichini is much lower (1.7% in the greater Japanese shrew-mole

Urotrichus talpoides) (Okabe et al., 2021). Okabe et al. (2021) recently reported on this variation and suggested that the variation in frequencies may be related to taxonomic differences between Talpini and Scalopini moles and Urotrichini shrew-moles of the family Talpidae. In this study, the first aim was to examine the occurrence and type of dental anomalies in Dymecodon pilirostris, another species of the tribe Urotrichini of the family Talpidae, to verify the difference in frequency between the three tribes. The position where the dental anomalies occurred differed between U. talpoides and Talpini and Scalopini moles. Although dental anomalies usually occur in the third incisor in U. talpoides (Okabe et al., 2021), they can also occur frequently in the premolars, especially the second premolar (Kawada et al., 2011). The second aim of this study was to determine the position of

^{© 2024} National Museum of Nature and Science

	Absent teeth reported by Miyao (1972)															
Dental formula	Upper dentition							Lower dentition								
	I^1	I^2	I^3	C^1	\mathbf{P}^1	\mathbf{P}^2	\mathbf{P}^3	\mathbf{P}^4	I_1	I_2	I_3	C_1	P_1	P_2	P_3	P_4
Ziegler (1971) Imaizumi and Kubota (1978)	0 0	0 0	2	1 2	0 1	0	0 0	0 0	_	0 0	0	6 0	2 6	2	2 2	0 0

Table 1. The quick reference for the occurrence of dental anomalies in *Dymecodon pilirostris* reported by Miyao (1972) was converted to align with the two different formulae

dental anomalies in D. pilirostris.

In the previous study of dental anomalies in D. pilirostris, Miyao (1972) reported that 11 (25.4%) of 54 examined specimens collected from Mt. Yatsugatake, Honshu Island, Japan had dental anomalies: absent teeth on the upper canine (C^1) in two specimens, the upper first premolar (P¹) in one specimen, the lower first premolar (P₁) in six specimens, and the lower second and third premolars $(P_2 \text{ and } P_3)$ in two specimens. Miyao (1972) followed the dental formula I 2/1, C 1/1, P 4/4, M 3/3 = 38 proposed by Imaizumi and Kubota (1978). However, recent studies (Kawada et al., 2001; Okabe et al., 2021) have proposed the adoption of an alternative dental formula; I 3/2, C 1/1, P 3/3, M 3/3 =38 (Ziegler, 1971). A previous report by Miyao (1972) reinterpreted the absent teeth as the upper third incisor (I^3) , the lower canine (C_1) , and the P₁ and P₃ (Table 1). The converted quick reference is provided in Table 1. In this study, I examined dental anomalies in a large number of D. *pilirostris* specimens (n = 280) collected from its geographic distribution.

Materials and Methods

I examined 280 *D. pilirostris* skull specimens collected in Honshu, Shikoku, and Kyushu, Japan. The examined specimens included 252 with permanent dentition and 28 with milk dentition. The specimens are stored at the Botanical Garden, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere of Hokkaido University, Sapporo (HUNHM); the Kyoto University Museum, Kyoto University, Kyoto (KUZ); National Museum of Nature and Science, Tokyo (NSMT); Zoological collection in the Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka; Zoological collection in the Faculty of Agriculture and Life Science, Hirosaki University, Hirosaki; Museum of Natural and Environmental History, Shizuoka (SPMN-MA); and Zoological collection in NPO Network for Shizuoka prefecture museum of Natural History (Appendix 1). I followed the dental formula: I¹, I², I³, C¹, P¹, P³, P⁴, M^{1} , M^{2} , M^{3} / I_{2} , I_{3} , C_{1} , P_{1} , P_{3} , P_{4} , M_{1} , M_{2} , $M_{3} =$ 38 for normal dentition (Ziegler, 1971). Surface observations of the upper and lower dentition were made under a microscope, and dental anomalies were identified. Obvious postnatal tooth loss, whereby tooth roots or alveoli remained on the surface of the jaws, was excluded, following the procedure described by Kawada et al. (2011).

Results and Discussion

Six of the 280 specimens (2.1%) had dental anomalies (Table 2). Tooth absence was observed in five specimens, and an extra tooth was found in one specimen. A single dental anomaly was observed in each individual with a dental anomaly. All dental anomalies were found in specimens with permanent dentition. Tooth absence occurred on the right I3 (NSMT-M12510, collected from Mt. Hayachine) (Fig. 1a), bilateral C¹ (NSMT-M14561, collected from Mt. Fuji) (Fig. 1b), right C¹ (NSMT-M13184, locality uncertain; SPMN-MA0053, collected from the South Alps) (Fig. 1c, d), and left C₁ (NSMT-M2509, collected from South Alps) (Fig. 1e). An extra tooth occurred posterior to C_1 (between C_1 and P_1) on the right side (NSMT-M29114, collected from Mt. Fuji) (Fig. 2). The extra tooth was slender with a tiny single cusp that seemed to be conge-



Fig. 1. Five Dymecodon pilirostris specimens with absent teeth (arrows). A tooth was absent at the upper third incisor (I³) on the right side (a; NSMT-M12510), upper canine (C¹) on the right and left side (b; NSMT-M14561), C¹ on the right side (c; NSMT-M13184), C¹ on the right side (d; SPMN-MA0053), and lower canine (C₁) on the left side (e; NSMT-M2509). The scale bars represent 2 mm.

neric with P_1 .

The frequency of dental anomalies in *D. pil-irostris* was 2.1% in this study. This is similar to the 1.7% found in *U. talpoides*. This frequency of dental anomalies in two Urotrichini species of shrew-moles suggests a lower frequency than

that of some Talpini and Scalopini mole species such as *T. altaica* (22.9%), *M. tokudae* (17.5%), *M. robusta* (9.9%), *M. wogura* (6.4%), *S. aquaticus* (14.5%), and *S. latimanus* (8.7%) (Kawada *et al.*, 2006, 2011; Feldhamer and Towery, 2011; Asahara *et al.*, 2012). The reasons for the differ-

Table 2. The number of *Dymecodon pilirostris* specimens with absent or extra teeth observed in this study following the dental formula of Ziegler (1971)

Dentel en en elu		Anomaly tooth							
Dental anomaly $n = 280$		I ³	C^1	C ₁	between C_1 and P_1				
Absent teeth	5	1 (right)	3 (1 bilateral, 2 right)	1 (left)					
Extra teeth	1				1 (right)				



Fig. 2. A Dymecodon pilirostris specimen with an extra tooth (arrow). The extra tooth was found posterior to the C₁ (between C₁ and the lower first premolar (P₁)) on the right side (NSMT-M29114). The extra tooth was slender with a tiny single cusp, which seems congeneric with P₁. The scale bar represents 2 mm.

ing frequencies of dental anomalies between this study (2.1% in 280 specimens) and Miyao's report (1972) (25.4% in 54 specimens) for the same species, D. pilirostris, remain unclear. One possible explanation could be variations in the sample locations. Miyao (1972) examined specimens from only one locality on Mount Yatsugatake, whereas the present study examined specithroughout mens collected the species' distribution range. Certain local populations have been suggested to contain dental anomalies at higher frequencies than populations from others within the species' distribution range (Asahara et al., 2012); Miyao's (1972) data may reflect such local dental anomalies.

This study reports, for the first time, an extra tooth in *D. pilirostris*. Tooth absence has been observed at the third incisor, canine, first premolar, and second premolar in *D. pilirostris* (this study and Miyao, 1972) following the dental formula of Ziegler (1971). This is similar to *U. tal*- *poides*, for which dental anomalies in the third incisor, canine, and first premolars have been reported.

The most frequent anomaly position differed between *D. pilirostris* (canine) and *U. talpoides* (third incisor), suggesting that the position where the dental anomaly is more prevalent differs between Urotrichini shrew-moles (canine or third incisor) and Talpini and Scalopini moles (mainly premolars, especially the second premolars). The differences in patterns of dental anomalies, in both frequency and position, observed between the two Urotrichini shrew-mole species and the other talpid species may reflect the semi-fossorial lifestyle of the shrew-moles as opposed to the fully fossorial nature of the moles) and their unique evolutionary histories.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Shin-ichiro Kawada (National Museum of Nature and Science), Masaharu Motokawa (Kyoto University Museum), and Masaru Kato (Botanical Garden, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University) for allowing me to examine specimens under their care and for their valuable research suggestions. I am also grateful to Iida (Kyushu University), Hiroshi Koki Hatakeyama (Hirosaki University), and Yuichiro Nishioka (Museum of Natural and Environmental History, Shizuoka) for granting access to specimens under their care. I thank the reviewers for their valuable comments. I would like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing. This study was supported by a JSPS KAKENHI grant (JP 24KJ2211).

196

References

- Asahara, M., A. Kryukov and M. Motokawa 2012. Dental anomalies in the Japanese mole *Mogera wogura* from northeast China and Primorsky region of Russia. Acta Theriologica 57: 41–48.
- Feldhamer, A. G., F. J. Merritt, C. Krajewski, L. J. Rachlow and M. K. Stewart 2020. Mammalogy, Fifth edition. 725 pp. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Feldhamer, A. G. and N. B. Towery 2011. Dental anomalies in the Eastern mole (*Scalopus aquaticus*). The American Midland Naturalist 165: 421–425.
- Hall, E. R. 1940. Supernumerary and missing teeth in wild mammals of the orders Insectivora and Carnivora, with some notes on disease. Journal of Dental Research 19: 103–143.
- Imaizumi, Y. H. and K. Kubota 1978. Numerical identification of teeth in Japanese shrew-mole, Urotrichus talpoides and Dymecodon pilirostris. Journal of Tokyo Medical and Dental University 25: 91–99.
- Kawada, S., H. Endo, S. Oda and K. Koyasu 2011. Dental anomalies in four mole species of the genus *Mogera* (Insectivora, Talpidae) from Japan. Bulletin of the National Museum of Nature and Science, series A (Zoology) 37: 63–72.
- Kawada, S., K. Koyasu, I. E. Zholnerovskaya and S. Oda 2001. Reconsideration of the tooth formula of *Desmana moschata* and problem in the processes of it's decision. Special Publication of Nagoya Society of Mammalogists (3): 41–48 (In Japanese).
- Kawada, S., K. Koyasu, I. E. Zholnerovskaya and S. Oda 2006. Analysis of dental anomalies in the Siberian mole, *Talpa altaica* (Insectivora, Talpidae). Archives of Oral Biology 51: 1029–1039.
- Miyao, T. 1972. Supernumerary and missing teeth in four species of the order Insectivora. Journal of Growth 11: 21–27 (In Japanese).
- Okabe, S., A. Shinohara and M. Motokawa 2021. New dental anomalies in the greater Japanese shrew mole *Urotrichus talpoides* (Eulipotyphla: Talpidae). Mammal Study 46: 309–315.
- Ziegler, C. A. 1971. Dental homologies and possible relationships of recent Talpidae. Journal of Mammalogy 52: 50–68.

Appendix 1

NSMT-M1193, 1203, 1470, 1486, 1487, 1488, 1540, 2506, 2507, 2509, 2514, 3009, 3448, 3550, 3551, 4126, 4186, 4263, 5089, 5342, 5363, 5606, 5607, 5838, 8517, 8764, 8823, 9065, 9066, 9069, 9146, 9188, 9238, 9239, 9240, 9296, 9301, 9358, 9381, 9638, 9657,

9665, 9828, 9829, 9837, 9900, 9917, 9918,
9919, 10021, 10023, 10025, 10531, 10532,
10533, 10534, 10535, 10990, 11113, 11331,
11356, 11715, 11822, 11873, 11876, 11877,
11893, 12264, 12265, 12266, 12267, 12268,
12269, 12270, 12271, 12279, 12283, 12288,
12291, 12455, 12480, 12487, 12505, 12510,
12523, 12524, 12527, 12528, 12543, 12544,
12638, 12643, 12695, 13184, 13232, 13240,
13290, 13298, 13299, 13331, 13334, 13341,
13359, 13363, 13409, 13606, 13607, 13608,
13614, 13643, 13806, 13807, 13808, 13809,
13810, 13811, 13812, 13813, 13814, 13815,
13816, 13817, 13865, 13871, 13872, 13893,
13904, 13956, 13957, 14195, 14479, 14480,
14481, 14494, 14497, 14552, 14555, 14556,
14557, 14561, 14607, 14694, 14702, 15835,
15837, 15838, 15839, 15841, 15842, 16083,
16089, 16091, 16112, 16298, 20621, 26657,
26658, 26660, 27437, 27438, 27439, 27443,
27445, 27449, 27450, 27456, 27459, 27460,
27461, 27625, 27984, 29113, 29114, 30989,
30992, 30993, 30998, 30999, 31000, 31003,
31006, 31008, 31009, 31010, 31012, 31013,
31014, 31015, 43236, 54614, 54616, 64130,
64206, 64207, 64279, 64379, 64513, 64515,
70358, 70359, 70360, 70479.

- HUNHM 52542, 52543, 52544, 52545, 52546, 52547, 52548, 52549, 52550, 52551, 52552, 52554, 52555, 53051, 53052, 53053, 53054, 53055, 55799, 56132, 56133, 56176, 56177, 56178, 58839.
- KUZ M11161, 11162, 11163, 11167, 11169, 11170, 11171, 13165, 13166, 13167, 13169, 13170, 13171.
- Zoological collection in the Kyoto University Museum, Kyoto University MH4016.
- Zoological collection in the Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyushu University 57, 59.
- Zoological collection in the Faculty of Agriculture and Life Science, Hirosaki University 98Dp1, 98Dp2, 99Dp1.
- SPMN-MA0044-0058.
- Zoological collection in NPO Network for Shizuoka prefecture museum of Natural History npo-160, npo-191, npo-195.